

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,566	03/17/2004	Yong-Chol Kwon	IK-0086	3451	
34610 7	590 10/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP			MCCRAW, BARRY CLAYTON		
P.O. BOX 2217 CHANTILLY,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3744		
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/200	DATE MAILED: 10/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/801,566	KWON, YONG-CHOL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	B. Clayton McCraw	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- pot will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/1	<u>14/2006</u> .	•					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 18,21 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5,7,8,11-17,19,20,22,23 and 25-29</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,9 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	) Office Action of form P1O-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pro-	· ·	received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bure		d					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/801,566 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 9, and 10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. This action has been made non-final in order to allow applicant to respond to new rejections.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1, 6, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unger (US 5,526,854) in view of Zeligson (US 6,067,738). Unger explicitly teaches a refrigerator door comprising an outer case forming the front appearance of a door (Figure 2, front of item 19), an inner case forming a rear appearance of the refrigerator

Art Unit: 3744

(Figure 2, rear of item 19), an insulation layer (Figure 3, 19) disposed between the outer case (Figure 3, 28) and the inner case (Figure 3, 29), a dispenser (12) including a housing (26), and is formed with a recess section (col.4, lines 1-3), an actuating lever (46) installed in the recess section of the housing for a discharge operation of water or ice from the dispenser and a driving level installed so as to open or close the ports according to actuating force of the actuating lever (col. 4, lines 28-60), the recess section of the housing formed with an opening section allowing water or ice cubes discharged from the water port or ice cube port to be introduced into the recess section (Figure 3), and an opening section for allowing water or ice cubes discharged from the water port or ice cube port to be introduced into the recess section (Figure 3, 23 and 63). Unger fails to teach an external plate section coupled to the front surface of the outer case to form an external appearance of the refrigerator door. Zeligson explicitly teaches an external plate section removably coupled to the front surface of the outer case to form an external appearance of the refrigerator door (Figure 1, item 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the refrigerator of Unger with the external plate of Zeligson because adding external covers to a household object like a refrigerator increases its visual appeal (col. 1, lines 45-50).

The examiner notes that although Unger does not explicitly disclose the dispenser being detachably coupled to the outer surface of the refrigerator, any coupled item that can be assembled can also be disassembled, and thus, is inherently a detachably coupled object.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,566 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

5. Claims 9 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Unger (US 5,526,854) in view of Zeligson (US 6,067,738) and in further view of Lee (5,881,930). Unger and Zeligson explicitly teach the elements of the present invention as described above, but fail to teach a guide bar guiding the movement of the actuating lever. Lee explicitly teaches a guide bar guiding the movement of the actuating lever (item 540). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to combine the refrigerator as taught by Unger and Zeligson with the guide bar as taught by Lee, since the Lee lever is expressly meant to be used in combination with water dispensers in refrigerators for facilitating guided movement of an actuating lever.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 3-5, 7, 8, 11-17, 19, 20, 22, 23, and 25-29 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Clayton McCraw whose telephone number is (571) 272-3665. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-5:00PM.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/801,566 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BOM 40/44/2006

10/14/2006

MELVÎN JONES PRIMARY EXAMINER